

1 **Parkour-Based Activities in the Athletic Development**
2 **of Youth Basketball Players**

3

4

5 Mark David Williams, Ben William Strafford, Joseph
6 Antony Stone, Jason Moran

7

8 Published in: Frontiers

9

10 Publication date: 2021

11

12 The re-use license for this item is: CC BY

13

14 This document version is the: Peer reviewed version

15

16 The final published version is available direct from the
17 publisher website at:

18

<https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2021.771368>

19

20

21 **Parkour-Based Activities in the Athletic Development of Youth**
22 **Basketball Players**
23

24

25

26 **Mark David Williams^{*1}, Ben William Strafford², Joseph Antony Stone², Jason Moran¹**

27

28

29 **1. School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, United**
30 **Kingdom**

31

32 **2. Sport and Physical Activity Research Centre, Sheffield Hallam University, United Kingdom**

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48 **Corresponding Author:** Mark David Williams, School of Sport, Rehabilitation and Exercise
49 Sciences, University of Essex, Colchester, Essex, United Kingdom, MW19335@essex.ac.uk

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 **Abstract**

57

58 While ideas from long-term athlete development (LTAD) models have been adopted and
59 integrated across different sports, issues related to early specialization, such as increased risk
60 of injury and burnout, are still common. Although some benefits may be associated with early
61 sport specialization, sports sampling is purported to be a more effective approach to the long-
62 term health and wellbeing of children. Furthermore, the concept of developing what are
63 commonly referred to as ‘fundamental movement skills’ (FMS) is central to the rationale for
64 delaying single sports specialization. However, in place of sports sampling, it appears that the
65 practice of strength and conditioning (S&C) has become a driving force behind developmental
66 models for youth athletes, highlighted by the growing body of literature regarding youth
67 athletic development training. In this perspective piece, we explore how conventional S&C
68 practice may insufficiently develop FMS because typically, it only emphasizes a narrow range
69 of foundational exercises that serve a limited role towards the development of action
70 capabilities in youth athletic populations. We further discuss how this approach may limit the
71 transferability of physical qualities, such as muscular strength, to sports-specific tasks. Through
72 an ecological dynamics lens, and using basketball as an example, we explore the potential for
73 parkour-based activity within the LTAD of youth basketball players. We propose parkour as a
74 training modality to not only encourage movement diversity and adaptability, but also as part
75 of an advanced strength training strategy for the transfer of conventional S&C training.

76

77 **Key Words**

78

79 Youth athletes, Fundamental movement skills, Non-linear pedagogy, Affordance landscape

80

81

82

83 **Introduction**

84

85 The notion of developing basic movement skills to provide foundations for more advanced and
86 specialized forms of movement is not new (Hulsteen et al., 2018). However, a concern in the
87 development of youth sports has been the lack of emphasis on generalized fundamental
88 movement skills (FMS) in favor of early specialization (Bridge & Toms, 2013; DiStefano et
89 al., 2017; Liefeyth et al., 2018). Although alternative terms exist (e.g., foundational movement
90 skills, functional movement skills, and basic movement skills), typically, FMS encompasses
91 locomotor (e.g., running and jumping) and object control (e.g., catching, throwing, and
92 kicking) (Barnett et al., 2016; Morgan et al., 2013). Accordingly, FMS are considered
93 foundational for the development of sports-specific skills, which if left undeveloped may limit
94 future performance (Arede et al., 2019; Barela, 2013; Jukic et al., 2019). Indeed, the
95 development of FMS ahead of specific sports skills is promoted within the long-term athlete
96 development model (LTAD) (Balyi, 2001), which has served as an influential framework for
97 the training of young athletes in sporting organizations for over two decades (D. Collins &
98 Bailey, 2012; Liefeyth et al., 2018; Perreault & Gonzalez, 2021).

99 Through the development of FMS as well as participation in multiple sports-related
100 activities throughout childhood, the premise of the LTAD model is to avoid early specialization
101 and the associated risks relating to injury and burnout (Ford et al., 2011; Perreault & Gonzalez,
102 2021; Pichardo et al., 2018). However, despite recognition by sports organizations of the need
103 for an LTAD strategy, the prevalence of injuries in youth sports, such as soccer and basketball,
104 remains high (e.g., Owwoye et al., 2020; Read et al., 2016, 2018). While the original intention
105 of the LTAD model was to be used as a framework for sports organizations to adapt and

106 implement to suit their specific needs (Dowling et al., 2020), it has been argued that the
107 development of FMS and general physical qualities remains marginalized in favor of sports-
108 specific training (Liefieith et al., 2018; Williams et al., 2021).

109 Problematically, much debate exists with respect to FMS (e.g., Barnett et al., 2016; Hulteen
110 et al., 2018; Newell, 2020). **Indeed, youth-level basketball coaches have been found to have**
111 **differing notions of FMS, as well as varying ideas as to whom might be responsible for their**
112 **development.** Consequently, sports organizations may have become reliant on the field of
113 strength and conditioning (S&C) to develop FMS and general physical qualities. For example,
114 within Basketball England’s version of the LTAD model, the *Player Development Framework*
115 (PDF), the S&C domain is responsible for the development of “all round quality of movement
116 literacy”. **In relation to this, the meta-analysis by Collins et al. (2019) found that resistance**
117 **training, which targets muscular strength, positively impacts FMS through neural adaptations**
118 **(e.g., motor unit recruitment and firing).** However, despite the benefits of youth-based S&C
119 training, which includes reducing risk factors for injury and life-long engagement in physical
120 activity (e.g., Faigenbaum et al., 2013; McQuilliam et al., 2020; Zwolski et al., 2017),
121 conventional youth-based S&C practices may lead to the development of movement skills with
122 limited relevance outside of the S&C domain. For example, the development of *athletic*
123 *movement skills*, such as the overhead squat, hip hinge and lunge patterns (Woods et al., 2017).
124 Consequently, FMS may not be developed with sufficient diversity to provide underpinning
125 movement capabilities for sports-specific skill development (Young, 2006; Young et al., 2015).

126 A potential strategy to enrich young athletes’ FMS education is the implementation of
127 parkour-related activities (Strafford et al., 2018, 2020). Parkour is an acrobatic sport
128 incorporating a broad range of movement skills and motor abilities, which has been proposed
129 as an activity to develop FMS and general athletic abilities for youth team sports (Strafford et
130 al., 2018, 2020; Wormhoudt et al., 2018). Obtaining transferable athletic capabilities through
131 the implementation of parkour derives from the concept of *donor sports*, which are purported
132 to develop and facilitate the transfer of general movement skills and physical qualities to
133 actions typically performed in a *target sport* (Travassos et al., 2018; Wormhoudt et al., 2018).
134 Given that basketball is characterised by multidirectional movements (Montgomery et al.,
135 2010), the development of youth basketball players would seemingly benefit from the running,
136 jumping, vaulting, and climbing activities that characterize parkour (DeMartini, 2014).

137 Thus, in this perspective article, we explore the potential for parkour as a donor sport for
138 the development of youth basketball players. In the next sections, we discuss the role of
139 conventional youth-based S&C practice and its limitations, and present alternative perspectives
140 on the development of movement capabilities through an ecological dynamics lens. It is
141 through this lens that we propose parkour as a donor sport for the enriched development of
142 FMS, as well as forming an advanced strength training strategy to facilitate transfer to
143 basketball performance.

144 145 **The Role of Strength and Conditioning in LTAD**

146
147 A body of research (e.g., Ayala et al., 2017; DiStefano et al., 2010; Myer et al., 2011; Pomares-
148 Noguera et al., 2018) has demonstrated the efficacy of neuromuscular training programs
149 (NMT) on reducing risk factors for injury in youth populations. Furthermore, other forms of
150 S&C training in youth populations are also supported empirically (Moran et al., 2019; Moran,
151 Parry, et al., 2018; Moran, Sandercock, et al., 2018). This includes evidence of windows of
152 trainability for strength, speed, and plyometrics (Moran et al., 2019; Moran, Parry, et al., 2018;
153 Moran, Sandercock, et al., 2018). Collectively, this has resulted in the publication of position
154 papers, such as the *National Strength and Conditioning Association’s* LTAD position
155 statement, and the *British Journal of Sports Medicine’s* position statement on youth resistance

156 training, both of which recommend the concurrent development of muscular strength and
157 movement skills in children and adolescents (Lloyd et al., 2014, 2016). Therefore, the role of
158 S&C within the LTAD strategies of sports organizations should be regarded as highly
159 important in reducing risk factors for injury as well as increasing physical performance
160 capabilities (Faigenbaum et al., 2013; Pichardo et al., 2018; Zwolski et al., 2017)

161 Notwithstanding the aforementioned benefits, a concern relating to the conventional
162 approach to youth-based S&C is the lack of representative movement dynamics for team sports,
163 such as basketball. Indeed, when considered in the context of “open-skill” games that require
164 decision making and a vast array of movement dynamics (Smith, 2016), athletic movement
165 skills may not sufficiently reflect the requirements. To illustrate this, in basketball, offensive
166 players require a large repertoire of action capabilities to evade their opponents, as do
167 defending players who are required to react (Montgomery et al., 2010). Accordingly, it has
168 been argued that to be effective, S&C programmes for basketball players need to better
169 represent the diversity of movement demands of the sport (Taylor et al., 2015). This contention
170 may also include plyometric exercise, which provides a stimulus to improve jumping, sprinting,
171 and change of direction capabilities through enhancement of the stretch-shortening cycle
172 (Hernández et al., 2018; Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2020). Although these physical qualities are
173 specific to basketball (Ramirez-Campillo et al., 2020), it has been argued that the importance
174 of the strength-related qualities of agility performance are relatively diminished against the
175 perceptual and decision making components (Young et al., 2015). Moreover, youth guidelines
176 relating to the prescription of plyometric exercise appear to limit the scope for movement
177 diversity by placing an emphasis on technical proficiency in exercises such as “in-place hops”
178 ahead of progression to more elaborate jumping variations (Cronin & Radnor, 2019). While
179 the safety of young athletes is of paramount importance, the youth guidelines for plyometric
180 training may serve to discourage exploration and development of jumping skills that are more
181 characteristic of sports, such as basketball.

182 Without devaluing the importance of conventional S&C training, it may be that despite
183 its emphasis on developing broad FMS within the LTAD framework, there is scope to
184 encourage a vaster array of action capabilities. We propose that the S&C domain further
185 permeates the development of youth athletes by more thoroughly accounting for the decision-
186 making properties and diverse array of movement dynamics that characterizes skilled motor
187 performance. Accordingly, we consider the merit in adopting an ecological dynamics approach
188 to motor learning.

189 **Adopting an Ecological Dynamics Perspective**

192 The ecological dynamics framework is formed from both ecological psychology and dynamics
193 systems theory (O’Sullivan et al., 2020; Rudd et al., 2020). Through the ecological psychology
194 lens, information perceived from the environment specifies the parameters that dictate how a
195 skill is performed (Frère & Hug, 2012). The opportunities for action that an individual
196 perceives from their environment represents what is termed the *affordance landscape* (Davids,
197 2012; Heras-Escribano & De Pinedo-García, 2018; Savelsbergh & Wormhoudt, 2018). For
198 example, a basketball player preparing to shoot will perceive information relating to the
199 proximity of the defensive player, their own location on the court, and the time left on the shot
200 clock. Collectively, this information will influence the dynamics of the shot with respect to the
201 kinetics and kinematics (Gorman & Maloney, 2016). In a second example, a player in
202 possession of the ball may detect the space between defenders as an opportunity to dribble and
203 *drive* through to advance towards the basket. In this example, based upon the defenders
204 positioning, the attacking player has different action possibilities (affordances) in regard to the

205 direction they may drive (Esteves et al., 2011). Thus, perception of the environment and the
206 subsequent action are considered to be coupled (Smith, 2016).

207 Within ecological dynamics, in place of fixed movement patterns, the ever-changing
208 nature of information from the environment requires adaptability from the performer to
209 coordinate the appropriate action (Davids et al., 2013.; Rudd et al., 2020). In contrast to fixed
210 movement patterns, muscle synergies, which represent neural organizations, enable a vast array
211 of adaptable movement possibilities (Bizzi & Cheung, 2013; Frère & Hug, 2012; Latash, 2012).
212 This is particularly pertinent to how adjustments to an ongoing movement skills occur in
213 response to perturbations (e.g., unexpected changes to surfaces) (Newell, 1991; Smith, 2016).
214 Contributing to the vast array of action capabilities is the combination of anatomical
215 characteristics, learned coordinative patterns, and changes to physical output (e.g., force
216 production and stretch-shortening properties), which form what are termed from an ecological
217 dynamics perspective as an individual's *effectivities* (Wang & Bingham, 2019; Witt & Riley,
218 2014). Importantly, properties that form effectivities are continually altered across
219 developmental stages of growth and maturation (Ribeiro et al., 2021), in turn necessitating the
220 continual exploration of the affordance landscape with respect to an individual's action
221 capabilities.

222

223 **The Potential of Parkour**

224

225 **Despite popular media portraying parkour as an extreme sport consisting of only large-scale**
226 **movements that are of high injury risk, such as jumping from buildings or between train**
227 **carriages (Strafford et al. 2018), expert Traceurs have highlight how contemporary parkour**
228 **consists of a range of events (e.g., speed runs, freestyle) which can be performed both in indoor**
229 **and outdoor environments (Strafford et al. 2020). Hence, Parkour is characterized by a variety**
230 **of movements utilized to navigate obstacles and is practiced in various forms and contexts**
231 **(Aggerholm & Højbjerg Larsen, 2017). The potential of parkour to enrich FMS is based upon**
232 **the concept of donor sports, which is derived from the Athletic Skills Model (ASM)**
233 **(Wormhoudt et al., 2018). The ASM, which adopts an ecological dynamics perspective,**
234 **purports that exposure to activities that share common characteristics (e.g., skills and abilities)**
235 **can be transferred or “donated” to a target sport (Rudd et al., 2020; Strafford et al., 2018).**
236 **Parkour invites different ways of moving based upon the performer's perception of**
237 **surroundings, and promotes creativity to navigate gaps and obstacles (Aggerholm & Højbjerg**
238 **Larsen, 2017; Rudd et al., 2020). Given these characteristics, Strafford et al. (2018) propose**
239 **that the incorporation of parkour-related activities could provide a platform for youth athletes**
240 **to develop FMS that could be transferred to other sports. For example, the use of obstacle**
241 **courses, termed *speed-runs*, which require the participant to navigate as efficiently as possible,**
242 **can be used to encourage transferable agility skills (Strafford et al., 2021). Indeed, irrespective**
243 **of the target sport, exposure to parkour-based activities, such as speed-runs, may be particularly**
244 **pertinent during pre-adolescence, which is regarded as a **period of sensitivity** for developing**
245 **FMS due to high levels of neural plasticity (Myer et al., 2015; Ng & Button, 2018). However,**
246 **for the purposes of *fine tune* existing neural pathways and muscle synergies, and to take**
247 **advantage of the still high-levels of neural plasticity retained in adolescence (~13 years of age**
248 **and above) (Myer et al., 2013, 2015), parkour-based activities may continue to play an**
249 **important role in athletic development.**

250 **Although currently, evidence directly examining the benefits of Parkour training on**
251 **basketball is limited, significant correlations between performance tests typically used in**
252 **basketball (e.g., vertical jump and T-test) and performance in a parkour speed-run has been**
253 **demonstrated (Strafford et al., 2021). Furthermore, Abellán-Aynés and Alacid (2016) present**
254 **Parkour as an effective training method for developing agility, horizontal, and vertical jump**

255 abilities. Alongside jumping and agility, Parkour training interventions have also demonstrated
256 improved cardiorespiratory fitness with increases in peak oxygen uptake, oxygen uptake at
257 anaerobic threshold, heart rate at anaerobic threshold and running speed at anaerobic threshold
258 (Dvorak et al., 2017).

259 Regarding basketball, owing to similarities between actions, parkour-based activities may
260 also be considered for their potential as a donor for the specific development of action
261 capabilities in youth players. For example, in parkour, the *tic tac* action, which is characterized
262 by pushing off of a wall with the ball of the foot to gain height (Witfeld et al., 2011), requires
263 spatial orientation and use of perceptual information from the foot contact to determine the
264 subsequent phase of the movement (Strafford et al., 2018). Therefore, this action may present
265 developing basketball players with the opportunity to explore their capabilities to decelerate,
266 propel, land and then, move in a new direction. Furthermore, through what has been termed a
267 “synergistic adaptation”, the introduction of strength training to youth basketball players will
268 likely augment changes to force production that naturally occur as a result of growth and
269 maturation (Moran et al., 2017; Peitz et al., 2018). In turn, this will alter the players’
270 effectivities (force capabilities), which necessitates the continued exploration of the affordance
271 landscape with respect to their action capabilities. To illustrate this, the use of plyometric
272 training, which has been found to enhance the jumping capabilities of the youth basketball
273 players (Gonzalo-Skok et al., 2019), logically, enables players to express improved jumping
274 capabilities within the game. For example, in the execution of rebounding the ball. Rebounding
275 involves an offensive or defensive player aerially competing for possession of the ball after a
276 missed shot attempt. However, depending upon the specific scenario that the player is presented
277 with, the player may be required to use various jumping actions to successfully rebound the
278 ball (Krause & Nelson, 2018). Therefore, despite a player’s enhanced force characteristics, in
279 the absence of the players exploring their jump action capabilities beyond the plyometric
280 regimen, there may be a limited transfer of the adaptations to sport-specific contexts. In this
281 regard, parkour-based actions need not be advanced beyond those identified as relevant to the
282 affordance landscape. Instead, the actions remain efficacious for the process of *recalibration*,
283 which represents an updating of the mapping of the contributing units to the execution of a
284 movement skill (Davids et al., 2012).

285 Although it may be argued that basketball-specific practice would better facilitate transfer
286 of improved force-related capabilities, problematically, the greater levels of representativeness
287 that basketball-specific practice presents, may provide cognitive and decision-making demands
288 that are too high (Farrow & Robertson, 2017). Therefore, youth players may fail to sufficiently
289 explore the affordance landscape in relation their altered physical capabilities. This is not to
290 appear contradictory to the premises of ecological dynamics already considered in regard to
291 the coupling of perception and action; instead it distinguishes between the effectivities (those
292 impacted by S&C) of the individual player, and the more complex environment that represents
293 the sport (Woods et al., 2020). In this regard affordances are both objective, for example, the
294 properties of a given playing surface; and subjective, which relate to an individual’s perception
295 of their own capabilities (Davids et al., 2008). With reference to the latter, the detection of
296 affordances therefore relates to an athlete’s current effectivities (Ribeiro et al., 2021; Wang &
297 Bingham, 2019). Where the properties of effectivities are enhanced through conventional S&C
298 training, parkour movement training is proposed to sit between conventional S&C training and
299 that of basketball-specific training. However, as with any training modality, caution should be
300 exercised to avoid excessive workload being placed upon youth athletes, especially in the form
301 of repetitive movement patterns (Leppänen et al., 2015). Notwithstanding this, when
302 programmed appropriately, theoretically, the inclusion of parkour-based activities would
303 enable the youth player to perceive their action capabilities and detect new affordances
304 transferable to their sport.

305

306 **Application as an Advanced Strength Training Strategy**

307

308 An important consideration in the development of adolescent basketball players is that the
309 number of basketball specific practice hours will generally increase proportional to the time
310 spent in other physical activities (Jayanthi et al., 2013). Therefore, the inclusion of parkour
311 activities will likely be dependent on the constraints of time. Accordingly, at this stage of
312 development, the use of parkour activities might form part of a more advanced strength training
313 strategy and adopt a more thoughtful and individually tailored approach. In this regard, parkour
314 activities should be considered by S&C coaches alongside an evaluation of the specific sporting
315 action being targeted.

316 To account for time constraints, parkour activities could theoretically be embedded within
317 the S&C programme itself. For example, this could take the form of a complex training
318 regimen, with parkour actions performed concurrently within the same training session as
319 conventional S&C training exercises. Complex training has previously been shown as an
320 effective method to improve sprint and vertical jump performance in young (<20 years)
321 basketball players (Freitas et al., 2017; Santos & Janeira, 2008). Commonly, this training
322 method requires athletes to perform a strength-oriented exercise, such as a barbell back squat
323 followed by a plyometric-oriented exercise that shares similar mechanics, therefore providing
324 a potentiating effect on the subsequent exercise (Santos & Janeira, 2008). Where the paired
325 exercise in this example would typically include a jumping exercise, such as a
326 countermovement jump (Freitas et al., 2017), vaulting activities or tic tac actions could be
327 included in its place, or in combination through alternating sets. With regard to the latter, from
328 an ecological dynamics perspective, this approach would challenge players to explore the
329 affordance landscape under conditions of the post-activation potentiation response from the
330 strength-oriented exercise, augmenting the neural contribution to the subsequent parkour action
331 in each set of the exercise, as is the aim of complex training (Freitas et al., 2017). Moreover,
332 the varied jumping patterns, would present players with more varied landing challenges than
333 those in conventional complex training, which may better prepare players for scenarios
334 encountered within the sport. **While currently, no known loading parameters exists for parkour-**
335 **based actions, it would appear prudent to follow the guidelines for contacts that are typical of**
336 **plyometric and complex training regimens.** However, research is required to validate these
337 suppositions.

338

339 **Safety Precautions**

340 *Parkour UK*, the governing body for parkour in the United Kingdom, has developed its own
341 risk-benefit assessment and provides standards relating to equipment and codes of practice.
342 However, its growing popularity is illustrated by the emergence of YouTube videos displaying
343 high-risk manoeuvres in urban settings (DeMartini, 2014). Therefore, where parkour actions
344 are being considered within the LTAD programs of young athletes, risk-benefit should be
345 considered, and an emphasis placed on performing parkour safely. Moreover, when introduced,
346 it should be stressed to the young athletes that the parkour activities are to be performed in
347 supervised sessions only.

348

349 **Concluding remarks**

350 Given the S&C domain's influence in the LTAD of youth athletic populations, we propose that
351 the field expands its influence to capture the both the decision making and movement dynamics
352 properties that may better represent the characteristics of sports performance. While the
353 efficacy of conventional S&C is not in question, we have argued that through the adoption of
354 concepts from the ecological dynamics' framework, the S&C domain might better equip

355 children and adolescents with diverse and adaptable action capabilities. Moreover, this would
 356 develop perceptual aspects of performance, and the interdependency of environment and
 357 movement dynamics. From this perspective, the implementation of parkour as a donor sport
 358 for youth basketball players, might enrich their action capabilities and facilitate the transfer of
 359 conventional forms of S&C to basketball performance.

360
 361
 362
 363

References

- 364 Abellán-Aynés, O., & Alacid, F. (2016). Anthropometric profile, physical fitness and
 365 differences between performance level of Parkour practitioners. *Archivos de Medicina*
 366 *Del Deporte*, 33(5), 312–316.
- 367 Aggerholm, K., & Højbjerg Larsen, S. (2017). Parkour as acrobatics: An existential
 368 phenomenological study of movement in parkour. *Qualitative Research in Sport,*
 369 *Exercise and Health*, 9(1), 69–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2016.1196387>
- 370 Arede, J., Esteves, P., Ferreira, A. P., Sampaio, J., & Leite, N. (2019). Jump higher, run
 371 faster: Effects of diversified sport participation on talent identification and selection in
 372 youth basketball. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 37(19), 2220–2227.
 373 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2019.1626114>
- 374 Ayala, F., Pomares-Noguera, C., Robles-Palazón, F., del Pilar García-Vaquero, M., Ruiz-
 375 Pérez, I., Hernández-Sánchez, S., & De Ste Croix, M. (2017). Training Effects of the
 376 FIFA 11+ and Harmoknee on Several Neuromuscular Parameters of Physical
 377 Performance Measures. *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, 38(04), 278–289.
 378 <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-121260>
- 379 Balyi, I. (2001). *Sport System Building and Long-term Athlete Development in British*
 380 *Columbia*. /paper/Sport-System-Building-and-Long-term-Athlete-in-
 381 Balyi/f08aabdf147e1a5c0e46192532cda62f095cd4e0
- 382 Barela, J. A. (2013). Fundamental motor skill proficiency is necessary for children’s motor
 383 activity inclusion. *Motriz: Revista de Educação Física*, 19(3), 548–551.
 384 <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1980-65742013000300003>
- 385 Barnett, L. M., Stodden, D., Cohen, K. E., Smith, J. J., Lubans, D. R., Lenoir, M., Iivonen, S.,
 386 Miller, A. D., Laukkanen, A., Dudley, D., Lander, N. J., Brown, H., & Morgan, P. J.
 387 (2016). Fundamental Movement Skills: An Important Focus. *Journal of Teaching in*
 388 *Physical Education*, 35(3), 219–225. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2014-0209>
- 389 Bizzi, E., & Cheung, V. C. (2013). The neural origin of muscle synergies. *Frontiers in*
 390 *Computational Neuroscience*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2013.00051>
- 391 Bridge, M. W., & Toms, M. R. (2013). The specialising or sampling debate: A retrospective
 392 analysis of adolescent sports participation in the UK. *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
 393 31(1), 87–96. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2012.721560>
- 394 Collins, D., & Bailey, R. (2012). ‘Scienciness’ and the allure of second-hand strategy in
 395 talent identification and development. *International Journal of Sport Policy*, 5, 1–9.
 396 <https://doi.org/10.1080/19406940.2012.656682>
- 397 Collins, H., Booth, J. N., Duncan, A., & Fawcner, S. (2019). The effect of resistance training
 398 interventions on fundamental movement skills in youth: A meta-analysis. *Sports*
 399 *Medicine - Open*, 5(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-019-0188-x>
- 400 Cronin, J. B., & Radnor, J. M. (2019). Plyometric Training for Youth Athletes. In *Strength*
 401 *and Conditioning for Youth Athletes* (2nd ed., pp. 188–207). Routledge.

- 402 Davids, K. (2012). *Principles of Motor Learning in Ecological Dynamics A comment on*
 403 *Functions of Learning and the Acquisition of Motor Skills (With Reference to Sport)*.
 404 <https://doi.org/10.2174/1875399X01205010113>
- 405 Davids, K., Araújo, D., Hristovski, R., & Chow, J. Y. (2012). Ecological dynamics and motor
 406 learning design in sport. In M. Williams & N. Hodges (Eds.), *Skill Acquisition in*
 407 *Sport: Research, Theory & Practice* (2nd ed., pp. 112–130). Routledge.
- 408 Davids, K., Araújo, D., Vilar, L., Renshaw, I., & Pinder, R. (n.d.). *An Ecological Dynamics*
 409 *Approach to Skill Acquisition: Implications for Development of Talent in Sport*. 14.
- 410 Davids, K., Button, C., & Bennett, S. (2008). *Dynamics of skill acquisition: A constraints-led*
 411 *approach*. Human Kinetics.
- 412 DeMartini, A. L. (2014). Is Parkour a Problem? College and University Liability for Extreme
 413 Sport Activities. *Recreational Sports Journal*, 38(2), 69–81.
 414 <https://doi.org/10.1123/rsj.2014-0039>
- 415 DiStefano, L. J., Beltz, E. M., Root, H. J., Martinez, J. C., Houghton, A., Taranto, N., Pearce,
 416 K., McConnell, E., Muscat, C., Boyle, S., & Trojian, T. H. (2017). Sport Sampling Is
 417 Associated With Improved Landing Technique in Youth Athletes. *Sports Health*,
 418 10(2), 160–168. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738117736056>
- 419 DiStefano, L. J., Padua, D. A., Blackburn, J. T., Garrett, W. E., Guskiewicz, K. M., &
 420 Marshall, S. W. (2010). Integrated Injury Prevention Program Improves Balance and
 421 Vertical Jump Height in Children: *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*,
 422 24(2), 332–342. <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e3181cc2225>
- 423 Dowling, M., Mills, J., & Stodter, A. (2020). Problematizing the Adoption and
 424 Implementation of Athlete Development ‘Models’: A Foucauldian-Inspired Analysis
 425 of the Long-Term Athlete Development Framework. *Journal of Athlete Development*
 426 *and Experience*, 2(3). <https://doi.org/10.25035/jade.02.03.03>
- 427 Dvorak, M., Eves, N., Bunc, V., & Balas, J. (2017). Effects of Parkour Training on Health-
 428 Related Physical Fitness in Male Adolescents. *The Open Sports Sciences Journal*,
 429 10(1), 132–140. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1875399X01710010132>
- 430 Esteves, P. T., de Oliveira, R. F., & Araújo, D. (2011). Posture-related affordances guide
 431 attacks in basketball. *Psychology of Sport and Exercise*, 12(6), 639–644.
 432 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2011.06.007>
- 433 Faigenbaum, A. D., Lloyd, R. S., & Myer, G. D. (2013). Youth Resistance Training: Past
 434 Practices, New Perspectives, and Future Directions. *Pediatric Exercise Science*, 25(4),
 435 591–604. <https://doi.org/10.1123/pes.25.4.591>
- 436 Farrow, D., & Robertson, S. (2017). Development of a Skill Acquisition Periodisation
 437 Framework for High-Performance Sport. *Sports Medicine*, 47(6), 1043–1054.
 438 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-016-0646-2>
- 439 Ford, P., De Ste Croix, M., Lloyd, R., Meyers, R., Moosavi, M., Oliver, J., Till, K., &
 440 Williams, C. (2011). The Long-Term Athlete Development model: Physiological
 441 evidence and application. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 29(4), 389–402.
 442 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2010.536849>
- 443 Freitas, T. T., Martinez-Rodriguez, A., Calleja-González, J., & Alcaraz, P. E. (2017). Short-
 444 term adaptations following Complex Training in team-sports: A meta-analysis. *PLOS*
 445 *ONE*, 12(6), e0180223. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0180223>
- 446 Frère, J., & Hug, F. (2012). Between-subject variability of muscle synergies during a
 447 complex motor skill. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*, 6.
 448 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2012.00099>
- 449 Gonzalo-Skok, O., Sánchez-Sabaté, J., Izquierdo-Lupón, L., & Sáez de Villarreal, E. (2019).
 450 Influence of force-vector and force application plyometric training in young elite

- 451 basketball players. *European Journal of Sport Science*, 19(3), 305–314.
452 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2018.1502357>
- 453 Gorman, A. D., & Maloney, M. A. (2016). Representative design: Does the addition of a
454 defender change the execution of a basketball shot? *Psychology of Sport and*
455 *Exercise*, 27, 112–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychsport.2016.08.003>
- 456 Heras-Escribano, M., & De Pinedo-García, M. (2018). Affordances and Landscapes:
457 Overcoming the Nature–Culture Dichotomy through Niche Construction Theory.
458 *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 2294. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.02294>
- 459 Hernández, S., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Álvarez, C., Sanchez-Sanchez, J., Moran, J., Pereira,
460 L. A., & Loturco, I. (2018). Effects of Plyometric Training on Neuromuscular
461 Performance in Youth Basketball Players: A Pilot Study on the Influence of Drill
462 Randomization. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 17(3), 372–378.
- 463 Hulteen, R. M., Morgan, P. J., Barnett, L. M., Stodden, D. F., & Lubans, D. R. (2018).
464 Development of Foundational Movement Skills: A Conceptual Model for Physical
465 Activity Across the Lifespan. *Sports Medicine*, 48(7), 1533–1540.
466 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0892-6>
- 467 Jayanthi, N., Pinkham, C., Dugas, L., Patrick, B., & LaBella, C. (2013). Sports Specialization
468 in Young Athletes. *Sports Health*, 5(3), 251–257.
469 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738112464626>
- 470 Jukic, I., Prnjak, K., Zoellner, A., Tufano, J. J., Sekulic, D., & Salaj, S. (2019). The
471 Importance of Fundamental Motor Skills in Identifying Differences in Performance
472 Levels of U10 Soccer Players. *Sports*, 7(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sports7070178>
- 473 Krause, J., & Nelson, C. (2018). *Basketball skills & drills* (Fourth Edition). Human Kinetics.
- 474 Latash, M. L. (2012). The bliss (not the problem) of motor abundance (not redundancy).
475 *Experimental Brain Research*, 217(1), 1–5. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-012-3000-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-012-3000-4)
476 4
- 477 Leppänen, M., Pasanen, K., Kujala, U. M., & Parkkari, J. (2015). Overuse injuries in youth
478 basketball and floorball. *Open Access Journal of Sports Medicine*, 173.
479 <https://doi.org/10.2147/OAJSM.S82305>
- 480 Liefeyth, A., Kiely, J., Collins, D., & Richards, J. (2018). *Back to the Future* – in support of a
481 renewed emphasis on generic agility training within sports-specific developmental
482 pathways. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(19), 2250–2255.
483 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2018.1449088>
- 484 Lloyd, R. S., Cronin, J. B., Faigenbaum, A. D., Haff, G. G., Howard, R., Kraemer, W. J.,
485 Micheli, L. J., Myer, G. D., & Oliver, J. L. (2016). National Strength and
486 Conditioning Association Position Statement on Long-Term Athletic Development.
487 *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 30(6), 1491–1509.
488 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000001387>
- 489 Lloyd, R. S., Faigenbaum, A. D., Stone, M. H., Oliver, J. L., Jeffreys, I., Moody, J. A.,
490 Brewer, C., Pierce, K. C., McCambridge, T. M., Howard, R., Herrington, L., Hainline,
491 B., Micheli, L. J., Jaques, R., Kraemer, W. J., McBride, M. G., Best, T. M., Chu, D.
492 A., Alvar, B. A., & Myer, G. D. (2014). Position statement on youth resistance
493 training: The 2014 International Consensus. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*,
494 48(7), 498–505. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2013-092952>
- 495 McQuilliam, S. J., Clark, D. R., Erskine, R. M., & Brownlee, T. E. (2020). Free-Weight
496 Resistance Training in Youth Athletes: A Narrative Review. *Sports Medicine*, 50(9),
497 1567–1580. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-020-01307-7>
- 498 Montgomery, P. G., Pyne, D. B., & Minahan, C. L. (2010). The Physical and Physiological
499 Demands of Basketball Training and Competition. *International Journal of Sports*
500 *Physiology and Performance*, 5(1), 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.5.1.75>

- 501 Moran, J., Clark, C. C. T., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Davies, M. J., & Drury, B. (2019). A Meta-
 502 Analysis of Plyometric Training in Female Youth: Its Efficacy and Shortcomings in
 503 the Literature. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 33(7), 1996–2008.
 504 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0000000000002768>
- 505 Moran, J., Parry, D. A., Lewis, I., Collison, J., Rumpf, M. C., & Sandercock, G. R. H. (2018).
 506 Maturation-related adaptations in running speed in response to sprint training in youth
 507 soccer players. *Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport*, 21(5), 538–542.
 508 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsams.2017.09.012>
- 509 Moran, J., Sandercock, G. R. H., Ramírez-Campillo, R., Meylan, C., Collison, J., & Parry, D.
 510 A. (2017). A meta-analysis of maturation-related variation in adolescent boy athletes’
 511 adaptations to short-term resistance training. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 35(11),
 512 1041–1051. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1209306>
- 513 Moran, J., Sandercock, G., Ramirez-Campillo, R., Clark, C. C. T., Fernandes, J. F. T., &
 514 Drury, B. (2018). A Meta-Analysis of Resistance Training in Female Youth: Its Effect
 515 on Muscular Strength, and Shortcomings in the Literature. *Sports Medicine*, 48(7),
 516 1661–1671. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-018-0914-4>
- 517 Morgan, P. J., Barnett, L. M., Cliff, D. P., Okely, A. D., Scott, H. A., Cohen, K. E., &
 518 Lubans, D. R. (2013). Fundamental Movement Skill Interventions in Youth: A
 519 Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Pediatrics*, 132(5), e1361–e1383.
 520 <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2013-1167>
- 521 Myer, G. D., Faigenbaum, A. D., Edwards, N. M., Clark, J. F., Best, T. M., & Sallis, R. E.
 522 (2015). Sixty minutes of what? A developing brain perspective for activating children
 523 with an integrative exercise approach. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*, 49(23),
 524 1510–1516. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bjsports-2014-093661>
- 525 Myer, G. D., Faigenbaum, A. D., Ford, K. R., Best, T. M., Bergeron, M. F., & Hewett, T. E.
 526 (2011). When to initiate integrative neuromuscular training to reduce sports-related
 527 injuries in youth? *Current Sports Medicine Reports*, 10(3), 155–166.
 528 <https://doi.org/10.1249/JSR.0b013e31821b1442>
- 529 Myer, G. D., Kushner, A. M., Faigenbaum, A. D., Kiefer, A., Kashikar-Zuck, S., & Clark, J.
 530 F. (2013). *Training the Developing Brain, Part I: Cognitive Developmental*
 531 *Considerations for Training Youth*. 12(5), 7.
- 532 Newell, K. M. (1991). Motor skill acquisition. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 42, 213–237.
 533 <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.42.020191.001241>
- 534 Newell, K. M. (2020). What are Fundamental Motor Skills and What is Fundamental About
 535 Them? *Journal of Motor Learning and Development*, 8(2), 280–314.
 536 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jmld.2020-0013>
- 537 Ng, J. L., & Button, C. (2018). Reconsidering the fundamental movement skills construct:
 538 Implications for assessment. *Movement & Sport Sciences - Science & Motricité*, 102,
 539 19–29. <https://doi.org/10.1051/sm/2018025>
- 540 O’Sullivan, M., Davids, K., Woods, C. T., Rothwell, M., & Rudd, J. (2020). Conceptualizing
 541 Physical Literacy within an Ecological Dynamics Framework. *Quest*, 72(4), 448–462.
 542 <https://doi.org/10.1080/00336297.2020.1799828>
- 543 Owoeye, O. B. A., Ghali, B., Befus, K., Stilling, C., Hogg, A., Choi, J., Palacios-Derflinger,
 544 L., Pasanen, K., & Emery, C. A. (2020). Epidemiology of all-complaint injuries in
 545 youth basketball. *Scandinavian Journal of Medicine & Science in Sports*, 30(12),
 546 2466–2476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sms.13813>
- 547 Peitz, M., Behringer, M., & Granacher, U. (2018). A systematic review on the effects of
 548 resistance and plyometric training on physical fitness in youth- What do comparative
 549 studies tell us? *PLOS ONE*, 13(10), e0205525.
 550 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0205525>

- 551 Perreault, M. E., & Gonzalez, S. P. (2021). Generalize over Specialize: Examining the Long-
 552 Term Athlete Development Model to Optimize Youth Athlete Development.
 553 *Strategies (08924562)*, 34(3), 11–15.
- 554 Pichardo, A. W., Oliver, J. L., Harrison, C. B., Maulder, P. S., & Lloyd, R. S. (2018).
 555 Integrating models of long-term athletic development to maximize the physical
 556 development of youth. *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 13(6),
 557 1189–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1747954118785503>
- 558 Pomares-Noguera, C., Ayala, F., Robles-Palazón, F. J., Alomoto-Burneo, J. F., López-
 559 Valenciano, A., Elvira, J. L. L., Hernández-Sánchez, S., & De Ste Croix, M. (2018).
 560 Training Effects of the FIFA 11+ Kids on Physical Performance in Youth Football
 561 Players: A Randomized Control Trial. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 6, 40.
 562 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2018.00040>
- 563 Ramirez-Campillo, R., Garcia-Hermoso, A., Moran, J., Chaabene, H., Negra, Y., & Scanlan,
 564 A. T. (2020). The effects of plyometric jump training on physical fitness attributes in
 565 basketball players: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*,
 566 S2095254620301691. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2020.12.005>
- 567 Read, P. J., Oliver, J. L., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Myer, G. D., & Lloyd, R. S. (2016). The
 568 scientific foundations and associated injury risks of early soccer specialisation.
 569 *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 34(24), 2295–2302.
 570 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2016.1173221>
- 571 Read, P. J., Oliver, J. L., De Ste Croix, M. B. A., Myer, G. D., & Lloyd, R. S. (2018). An
 572 audit of injuries in six english professional soccer academies. *Journal of Sports
 573 Sciences*, 36(13), 1542–1548.
- 574 Ribeiro, J., Davids, K., Silva, P., Coutinho, P., Barreira, D., Garganta, J., & Ribeiro, J.
 575 (2021). Talent Development in Sport Requires Athlete Enrichment: Contemporary
 576 Insights from a Nonlinear Pedagogy and the Athletic Skills Model. *Sports Medicine*,
 577 51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40279-021-01437-6>
- 578 Rudd, J. R., Pesce, C., Strafford, B. W., & Davids, K. (2020). Physical Literacy - A Journey
 579 of Individual Enrichment: An Ecological Dynamics Rationale for Enhancing
 580 Performance and Physical Activity in All. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1904.
 581 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.01904>
- 582 Santos, E. J. A. M., & Janeira, M. A. A. S. (2008). Effects of Complex Training on Explosive
 583 Strength in Adolescent Male Basketball Players: *Journal of Strength and
 584 Conditioning Research*, 22(3), 903–909.
 585 <https://doi.org/10.1519/JSC.0b013e31816a59f2>
- 586 Savelsbergh, G. J. P., & Wormhoudt, R. (2018). Creating adaptive athletes: The athletic skills
 587 model for enhancing physical literacy as a foundation for expertise. *Movement &
 588 Sport Sciences - Science & Motricité*, 102, 31–38.
 589 <https://doi.org/10.1051/sm/2019004>
- 590 Smith, W. (2016). Fundamental movement skills and fundamental games skills are
 591 complementary pairs and should be taught in complementary ways at all stages of
 592 skill development. *Sport, Education and Society*, 21(3), 431–442.
 593 <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2014.927757>
- 594 Strafford, B. W., Davids, K., North, J. S., & Stone, J. A. (2020). Designing Parkour-style
 595 training environments for athlete development: Insights from experienced Parkour
 596 Traceurs. *Qualitative Research in Sport, Exercise and Health*, 1–17.
 597 <https://doi.org/10.1080/2159676X.2020.1720275>
- 598 Strafford, B. W., Davids, K., North, J. S., & Stone, J. A. (2021). Effects of functional
 599 movement skills on parkour speed-run performance. *European Journal of Sport
 600 Science*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17461391.2021.1891295>

- 601 Strafford, B. W., van der Steen, P., Davids, K., & Stone, J. A. (2018). Parkour as a Donor
602 Sport for Athletic Development in Youth Team Sports: Insights Through an
603 Ecological Dynamics Lens. *Sports Medicine - Open*, 4(1), 21.
604 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-018-0132-5>
- 605 Taylor, J. B., Ford, K. R., Nguyen, A.-D., Terry, L. N., & Hegedus, E. J. (2015). Prevention
606 of Lower Extremity Injuries in Basketball: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis.
607 *Sports Health*, 7(5), 7.
- 608 Travassos, B., Araújo, D., & Davids, K. (2018). Is futsal a donor sport for football?:
609 Exploiting complementarity for early diversification in talent development. *Science
610 and Medicine in Football*, 2(1), 66–70.
611 <https://doi.org/10.1080/24733938.2017.1390322>
- 612 Wang, X. M., & Bingham, G. P. (2019). Change in effectivity yields recalibration of
613 affordance geometry to preserve functional dynamics. *Experimental Brain Research*,
614 237(3), 817–827. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00221-018-05467-x>
- 615 Williams, M. D., Hammond, A. M., & Moran, J. (2021). Youth Basketball Coaches’
616 Perceptions and Implementation of Fundamental Movement Skills Training: Toward
617 a Realist Evaluation. *Journal of Teaching in Physical Education*, 1–8.
618 <https://doi.org/10.1123/jtpe.2020-0306>
- 619 Witfeld, J., Gerling, I. E., Pach, A., & Witfeld, J. (2011). *Parkour and Freerunning: Discover
620 your possibilities*. Meyer & Meyer.
- 621 Witt, J. K., & Riley, M. A. (2014). Discovering your inner Gibson: Reconciling action-
622 specific and ecological approaches to perception–action. *Psychonomic Bulletin &
623 Review*, 21(6), 1353–1370. <https://doi.org/10.3758/s13423-014-0623-4>
- 624 Woods, C. T., McKeown, I., Keogh, J., & Robertson, S. (2017). The association between
625 fundamental athletic movements and physical fitness in elite junior Australian
626 footballers. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1–6.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02640414.2017.1313996>
- 628 Woods, C. T., McKeown, I., Rothwell, M., Araújo, D., Robertson, S., & Davids, K. (2020).
629 Sport Practitioners as Sport Ecology Designers: How Ecological Dynamics Has
630 Progressively Changed Perceptions of Skill “Acquisition” in the Sporting Habitat.
631 *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 654. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00654>
- 632 Wormhoudt, R., Savelsbergh, G. J. P., Teunissen, J. W., & Davids, K. (2018). *The athletic
633 skills model: Optimizing talent development through movement education*. Routledge.
- 634 Young, W. B. (2006). Transfer of Strength and Power Training to Sports Performance.
635 *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 1(2), 74–83.
636 <https://doi.org/10.1123/ijsp.1.2.74>
- 637 Young, W. B., Dawson, B., & Henry, G. J. (2015). Agility and Change-of-Direction Speed
638 are Independent Skills: Implications for Training for Agility in Invasion Sports.
639 *International Journal of Sports Science & Coaching*, 10(1), 159–169.
640 <https://doi.org/10.1260/1747-9541.10.1.159>
- 641 Zwolski, C., Quatman-Yates, C., & Paterno, M. V. (2017). Resistance Training in Youth:
642 Laying the Foundation for Injury Prevention and Physical Literacy. *Sports Health: A
643 Multidisciplinary Approach*, 9(5), 436–443.
644 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1941738117704153>

647

648

Parkour in the athletic development of youth basketball players

649

650

651