

Limbs kinematics of dogs exercising at different water levels on the underwater treadmill

M. Bliss, J. Terry and R. Ferro de Godoy

Published in: Veterinary Science and Medicine Physiology Publication date: 2022

The re-use license for this item is: CC BY-NC-ND

This document version is the: Peer reviewed version

The final published version is available direct from the publisher website at:

<https://doi.org/10.1002/vms3.947>

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34

Limbs kinematics of dogs exercising at different water levels on the underwater treadmill

Abstract

Background: With hydrotherapy rising in the UK, before understanding the effect of hydrotherapy in animals with pathologies, kinematics data for healthy animals is required.

Objectives: To assess how different water levels on an underwater treadmill (UWTM) can affect joint kinematics.

Methods: Zinc oxide markers were placed on bony landmarks on the limbs of 10 healthy dogs, randomly split into five groups. An UWTM was used with water levels to the digits, tarsus, stifle and hip. The maximum flexion, extension and ROM was determined and a repeated measures ANOVA or Friedman’s was used to determine significant differences.

Results: We have detected various changes in kinematics following exercise at different water levels, in comparison with a dry treadmill, including consistent increases in flexion of the elbow, stifle and tarsal joints, which were observed for all water levels. The carpal joint had increases in flexion all water levels apart from digit level. An increase in shoulder flexion was seen only with water on or above stifle level, whilst hip kinematics had the fewest changes with only ROM increasing at high water level (hip level). Extension of limbs joints was not markedly affected, with only a few data being significant. The carpal joint had an overall decrease in extension with water at all levels, and the stifle joint had a decreased extension when water was at stifle height.

Conclusion: Water level can significantly affect joint kinematics, and knowledge of how each water level affects the joints is relevant to design relevant hydrotherapy protocols.

Key words: biomechanics, canine, hydrotherapy, range of motion

35

36

37 **Introduction**

38 Hydrotherapy is a popular modality used to advance rehabilitation and recovery. Underwater
39 treadmills (UWTM), commonly used within canine hydrotherapy, allow the therapist to
40 control speed, water level, incline and temperature, tailoring rehabilitation to individual
41 conditions (Waining *et al.*, 2011). Elucidating the biomechanical requirements of healthy
42 dogs walking on an UWTM is essential to develop treatment plans that are aimed to specific
43 injuries. Some gait parameters have been studied during UWTM locomotion at different
44 water levels,, including stride length, stride frequency and duty factor (Barnicoat and Wills,
45 2016), but there is no studies regarding joints flexion, extension and range of motion (ROM)
46 under different water levels. Range of Motion (ROM) is defined as the degree of motion that
47 occurs when the bones compromising a joint movement about the joint axis (Prydie and
48 Hewitt, 2015). Utilised through assessment and treatment, ROM techniques are used in
49 animal physiotherapy to restore joint range and identify any restrictions and compensatory
50 mechanisms (Zink and Van Dyke, 2013). Improved ROM can be induced by many techniques,
51 manual and remedial, but UWTM effects on all joints ROM are yet to be determined. Current
52 research in human and equine studies have documented an increase in ROM whilst using an
53 UWTM, however, canine research is still lacking (Mendez-Angulo *et al.*, 2014; Barela *et al.*,
54 2006). Understanding joint kinematics, including maximum flexion, extension and range of
55 motion (ROM) in the UWTM at different water levels is important for the hydrotherapy
56 industry, as certain conditions present with pain on flexion and/or extension and some
57 conditions present with a deficit of certain movement, which can be restored by
58 hydrotherapy. For example, an increase in carpal flexion, could be a significant
59 contraindication for carpal tenosynovitis, and an improved elbow ROM would be sought for
60 elbow dysplasia (Preston and Wills, 2018). .

61 The aims of this study was to determine whether different water levels during UWTM
62 exercise will influence limb joint flexion, extension and ROM when compared with a dry
63 treadmill. It was hypothesised that canine joint kinematics would be characterised by
64 changes in flexion and/or extension in comparison with the dry treadmill.

65

66 **Materials and Methods**

67

68 *Animals*

69 Ten healthy dogs (05 male and 05 female) were used in this study. Various breeds were used
70 to represent the full population. As growth plates are seen to close after 1 year, and arthritis
71 commonly occurring at 8-13 years, participants were between the ages of 1 and 7 (mean±SD=
72 5±1.9 years old) (Todhunter *et al.*, 1997; Mele, 2007). The withers height was 41.6±12.55cm
73 and the weight 11.89±9.20kg. Some dogs were already familiar with UWTM exercise.
74 Participants who were not yet habituated, went through habituation periods for three
75 sessions before the main trial.

76

77 *Experimental design*

78 The 10 dogs were randomly split into five groups of two, which were allocated a different
79 randomised sequence of water levels. Upon arrival, each dog was assessed and checked for
80 any signs of lameness. The participants collar and lead were removed and replaced with a slip
81 lead for the handler in the treadmill to have control in the water. A Canine Hydro-Physio Aqua
82 Treadmill was used for the treadmill exercise. All participants started at the dry condition, so
83 ROM angles were not affected by a carry-over effect of the water levels and results from the
84 dry were used as a baseline for comparisons to be made. Once a gait pattern was noted as
85 being consistent, a 30 second period of gait was recorded. Water height was then progressed
86 randomly through the four water levels for each group; digit, tarsus, stifle and hip level (Figure
87 1). All participants had three minutes of exercise and 30 seconds recorded time on each water
88 depth to ensure reliable strides, with at least one to two minutes rest in between each for
89 recovery. Speed was kept constant between water levels and set so that each dog would walk
90 comfortably when the treadmill was dry. Once finished in the trial, dogs were rinsed and
91 shampooed to ensure all chlorine and zinc oxide was removed from their coat. A handler was
92 also present in the treadmill to ensure gait patterning and support for the
93 participants. Behaviour and heart rate was monitored throughout the trial.

94

95 Figure 1

96

97 *Data Collection*

98 Markers were made from zinc oxide ointment similarly to horse participants in the Mendez-
99 Angulo *et al.* (2013) study. For white coat dogs, the ointment was mixed with powder paint
100 to ensure visibility in the water. On the thoracic limb, markers were placed on the coat over
101 the distolateral aspect of the fifth metacarpal bone, ulnar styloid process, lateral epicondyle
102 of the humerus, greater tubercle of the humerus and dorsal aspect of the scapula. On the
103 pelvic limbs, markers were placed on the distolateral aspect of the fifth metatarsal bone,
104 lateral malleolus of the fibula, lateral femoral condyle, greater trochanter of the femur and
105 the iliac crest (Jarvis *et al.*, 2013) (Figure 2). The same researcher applied the markers and
106 lead the dog into the treadmill remained consistent across participants to control variation.
107 Two high-speed cameras (Quintic USB3 1.3 MPixels) were positioned on either side of the
108 treadmill, 1 metre away from the treadmill, with a field of view capturing the full area of the
109 treadmill window 2m x1m). Cameras captured videos at each water level, either side of the
110 UWTM at 240fps to the 720p resolution (1,280-by-720 pixels).

111

112 Figure 2

113

114 *Data Analysis*

115 Videos were analysed on video analysis software (Quintic Biomechanics, Quintic Consultancy
116 Ltd, Birmingham, UK). Maximum extension was taken from the maximum angle, the
117 maximum flexion was taken from the minimum angle during a full stride, while ROM was
118 calculated by maximum extension minus maximum flexion. Three full strides were analysed
119 for each dog at each water level, in accordance to previously published literature (Marsolais
120 *et al.*, 2003). The selected strides were the ones where the dog was looking forward and
121 walking steadily. Due to the inability to use reflective markers underwater, all video tracking
122 was performed manually. All raw data were smoothed using a Butterworth low-pass filter,

123 fourth order with a cut-off frequency of 10 Hz. Data from three strides were averaged for
124 statistical analysis.

125

126 *Statistical analysis*

127 Mean values of flexion, extension and ROM were placed through statistics software (SPSS
128 Statistics, v. 25). Normality of data was examined through Shapiro-Wilk test. Parametric data
129 was analysed with one-way repeated measures ANOVA, with post-hoc tests with Bonferroni
130 correction. Non-parametric data was analysed using Friedman's test, with post-hoc applying
131 Bonferroni corrections. For this research we have just considered the differences between
132 the dry treadmill and the other water heights.

133 **Results**

134

135 All dogs in the study successfully completed the protocol uneventfully.

136

137 *Shoulder Kinematics*

138 Kinematic analysis revealed that shoulder flexion had statistically significant increases from
139 the baseline dry condition to stifle ($p=0.023$) and hip level ($p=0.000015$). Extension did not
140 have a significant difference ($p=0.147$) between conditions, while shoulder ROM shown
141 significant increase at hip level ($p=0.047$) when compared with dry treadmill (Figure 2). The
142 percentage on changes in shoulder kinematics in relation to the dry condition can be seen on
143 table 1 and figure 3.

144

145 *Elbow Kinematics*

146 Kinematic analysis revealed that elbow flexion increases with all water levels in comparison
147 to dry treadmill. A higher joint flexion was achieved at digit level water ($p=0.007$), tarsus level
148 ($p=0.000158$), stifle level ($p=0.001$), with its biggest increase at hip level ($p<0.0005$). At hip
149 water level, both extension ($p=0.047$) and ROM ($p<0.0005$) have increased in relation to the
150 dry condition (Figure 3). The percentage on changes in elbow kinematics can be seen on table
151 1.

152

153 *Carpus Joint Kinematics*

154 Carpal flexion increased at tarsal water level ($p=0.000132$), stifle level ($p=0.002$) and hip level
155 ($p=0.000011$) in comparison with the dry condition. Carpal extension, when compared with
156 the without water condition, decreased at tarsal level ($p<0.0005$), stifle level ($p<0.0005$) and
157 hip level ($p=0.003$) (Figure 3). Furthermore, due to the increase in flexion and the decrease in
158 extension, there was no elicited changes in ROM. Percentage of changes in carpus kinematics
159 in relation to dry condition can be seen on table 1.

160

161 *Hip Kinematics*

162 There has been no statistically significant differences in hip flexion ($p=0.005$) or extension
163 ($p=0.382$) at the different water levels in comparison with without water. However, there was
164 a significant increase in ROM at hip water level ($p=0.019$) when compared with dry condition
165 (Figure 4). Table 2 shows the changes (in %) of hip kinematics at the different water levels in
166 comparison with dry treadmill.

167

168 *Stifle Kinematics*

169 Significant increases in flexion were seen from dry level to digit ($p= 0.004$), tarsus
170 ($p=0.000005$), stifle ($p<0.0005$) and to hip ($p=0.000031$) water levels. Stifle extension was
171 significantly decreased at stifle water level ($p= 0.04$) when compared with dry. Stifle ROM has
172 significantly increased from dry treadmill to the water levels of stifle ($p=0.007$) and of hip
173 ($p=0.019$) (Figure 4). On table 2, these changes can be seen as percentage of change in
174 relation to dry condition.

175

176 *Tarsal Joint Kinematics*

177 When comparing with dry treadmill, analysis has found statistically significant increases in
178 tarsal flexion from dry level to digit ($p= 0.011$), tarsal ($p=0.000337$), stifle ($p=0.000001$), and
179 hip ($p=0.000016$) water levels. However, there has been no significant changes in extension
180 ($p = 0.927$). Tarsal ROM had significant difference at stifle level ($p=0.004$) and hip level
181 ($p=0.019$) when compared with the dry condition (Figure 4). These significant differences can
182 be seen on table 2 as % of change in relation to without water.

183

184 Figure 3

185 Figure 4

186 **Discussion**

187 We have detected various changes in kinematics following exercise at different water levels,
188 in comparison with a dry treadmill. The most marked findings are consistent increases in
189 flexion for the elbow, stifle and tarsal joints, which were observed for all the water levels. The
190 carpal joint had an increase in flexion in most water levels. An increase in shoulder flexion
191 was seen only with water on or above stifle level, and hip kinematics had the fewest changes,
192 with the only significant change being increase in ROM at the highest water level (hip level).
193 Extension of studied joints was not markedly affected, with only few outcomes being
194 significantly different from dry treadmill. Carpal joint had an overall decrease in extension
195 during UWTM walking and stifle joint had a decreased extension when water was at the same
196 level as the joint.

197 To our knowledge this was the first experiment exploring canine full limb joints kinematics
198 during UWTM exercises. Barnicoat and Wills (2016) have assessed stride parameter changes,
199 but not individual joints kinematics. In Barnicoat and Wills (2016) research there was a
200 significant effect of water depth on duty factor, stride frequency and stride length.

201 A baseline condition of dry was used to gain comparisons between the different water levels.
202 A 30 second period was filmed in the study as this has been supported in a study by Owen *et al.*
203 (*2004*), which found kinematic results to be maintained over a 30 second period in a 2-
204 minute test period. Furthermore, Torres *et al.* (2013) found that ground and treadmill-based
205 walking delivered similar waveforms regarding directional movement. This highlights a
206 similarity between walking on land and walking on the treadmill which was important in this
207 study to allow comparisons between each water level and the baseline walking on dry.

208 Immersion to the digit level encourages an increase in elbow, stifle and tarsal flexion (13.5%,
209 10.97% and 9.18% respectively.) Similar observations have been seen in equine research with
210 an increase in elbow, stifle and tarsal flexion, however, carpal flexion was also seen to
211 increase which was not observed in the current study (Mendez-Angulo *et al.*, 2014). This can
212 be attributed to the anatomical and biomechanical differences of the carpal joint in horses in

213 dogs. Dogs have hyperextension of this joint, contributing to an increased extension and
214 ROM.

215 The results of immersion to the digit level could be an indicator of proprioceptive benefits.
216 Neural pathways are re-established by stimulating nerve signals and motor pathways to
217 activate muscle contraction and stimulate nociceptors (Olby *et al.*, 2005). Peripheral nerve
218 stimulation improves motor performance by stimulating corticospinal pathways, enlarging
219 awareness of the limb (Frank and Roynard, 2018). With a small amount of water touching the
220 limbs, tactile stimulation plays a large role in active ROM. Tactile stimulators act via cutaneous
221 mechanoreceptors which modulate limb activation in response to cutaneous afferent
222 stimulation (Clayton *et al.*, 2010). The reactive phase of muscular response is the same
223 stimulus triggering flexor or extensor muscles (Rossignol *et al.*, 1981). Research in humans
224 explores cutaneous stimulation of the plantar surface of the foot influencing reflex
225 modulation of the tarsal muscles (Fallon *et al.*, 2005). In the study described here, afferent
226 input from cutaneous mechanoreceptors in the digits region increased tarsal flexion, and
227 consequently the stifle was also stimulated, consistent with the human responses described
228 above. Following this stimulation at digit level, lower joints increase flexion, but no effects
229 were seen at upper joints when the water was only at digit level. In terms of rehabilitation,
230 this study supports water contributing to increased neural input which will be beneficial for
231 neurological cases that require tactile stimulation to help neural pathways become more
232 efficient.

233 At tarsal water level, we observed a significant increase in carpal, elbow, stifle, and tarsal
234 flexion. Water immersion at the tarsal will provide some resistance and also stimulate
235 cutaneous mechanoreceptors. Muscle activation has been recognised to be in response to
236 cutaneous afferent stimulation (Sherrington, 1910). With the water activating
237 mechanoreceptors for muscle activation, increase in flexion of the carpal, elbow, stifle and
238 tarsal will be activated via the radial and tibial nerve. Furthermore, with the small amount of
239 resistance felt at the tarsal, the participants will increase movement through the joints to
240 overcome the surface tension and resistance by raising the limbs above water level rather
241 than through the water (McGowan and Goff, 2016).

242 Furthermore, at hock level, there is evidence to suggest that buoyancy begins to have an
243 effect as body weight has been seen to reduce by 9%, which reduces vertical ground reaction
244 forces (Levine *et al.*, 2010). The effects of buoyancy may be beneficial for patients with
245 arthritis as it will reduce the weight bearing on the limbs. Accordingly, in our study, carpal
246 extension decreased by as much as 8.92%, which implies less loading of forelimbs during
247 hydrotherapy, as carpal extension is seen to increase when more loading is imposed
248 (Appelgrain *et al.*, 2019). This may be beneficial for some forelimb conditions which are
249 exacerbated by forelimb loading such as elbow dysplasia. Indeed, description of improvement
250 of elbow range of motion following a hydrotherapy session has been described by Preston
251 and Wills (2018).

252 When the water was raised to the stifle, it began to have an effect on the most proximal
253 joints. All joints, apart from the hip, increased in flexion. Shoulder flexion increased by 7.62%.
254 Reasoning for a difference in shoulder movement at stifle level may be due to the resistance
255 causing the limb to retract more. Furthermore, stifle water level induced the biggest flexion
256 increase at the stifle joint. This reflects Jackson *et al.* (2002) with joint flexion being its greatest
257 when the water is filled at the joint of interest. Furthermore, stifle water level was the first
258 level that encouraged active ROM in the stifle and tarsal joint. It could be suggested that

259 hydrostatic pressure may be acting on the joints by stimulating mechanoreceptors (King *et*
260 *al.*, 2013).

261 However, at stifle level, extension of the stifle decreases. This could be due to the cohesion
262 and resistance of the water. Stifle extension occurs in preparation for ground contact at 80%
263 of the total stride (McGowan and Goff, 2016). With depth of immersion, more force is
264 required to move the body against the water resistance (Torres-Ronda and Alcázar, 2014).
265 Therefore, it could be argued that instead of acting against the force, the canine participants
266 exert less force and energy to make it easier when walking through the water, ultimately
267 reducing stifle extension. Therefore, if a dog is presenting with a lack of stifle extension, for
268 example, after cranial cruciate ligament surgery, the UWTM exercise at this level would not
269 bring any benefit in restoring extension as also discussed by Marsolais *et al.* (2003)..

270 Current research highlights hip water level providing the most reduction in vertical ground
271 reaction forces (Millis *et al.*, 2010). Less concussive forces are placed through the joints at a
272 higher water level and an increase in ROM has been noted in similar research (Orselli and
273 Duarte, 2011; Mendez-Angulo *et al.*, 2014). Hip water level creates the greatest shoulder
274 flexion with a 90.7% increase in ROM. Therefore, if the rehabilitation is targeting shoulder
275 flexion, a higher water level should be recommended.

276 Elbow flexion increased by 115% which highlights a reduction in forces being placed through
277 the limbs in order for the elbows to flex. Levine *et al.*, (2010) found 71% of weight distributed
278 to the forelimbs at hip level during stationary partial immersion. However, the study
279 conducted involved walking which encourages individual limb use for correct gait patterning,
280 preventing compensatory mechanisms (Millis and Levine, 2014). During swimming, elbow
281 flexion has been documented to be at its greatest without a floatation device compared to
282 with.

283 Stifle flexion decreased at hip water level compared to the stifle water level. This could be
284 due to participants not being able to break water surface tension as the joint was fully
285 submerged (Prankel, 2008). Hip water level did provide the best ROM for the stifle which may
286 be beneficial for conditions that lack overall stifle ROM rather than a reduction in flexion alone
287 which is commonly seen post cruciate surgery (Jandi and Schulman, 2007),

288 Hip ROM was seen to increase at hip water level by 42% which could benefit patients with hip
289 dysplasia. Hip height water level creates substantial buoyancy, (Levine *et al.*, 2010) and
290 Parkinson *et al.*, (2018) found a reduction in activity at the *gluteus medius* so UWTM may not
291 be the ideal modality when an increase in hip extension or flexion is desired.

292 This study was not without limitations. These factors included the use of a 2-D kinematics
293 analysis and refraction of light in water. However, all attempts were made to minimize these
294 factors: the same researcher placed markers on all, filled the underwater treadmill with
295 water, set up the video camera, and manually analysed the videos. In addition, 3 strides for
296 each dog at each water depth were analysed; this has minimised variability. Some authors,
297 whilst doing UWTM analysis in horses (Mendez-Angulo *et al.*, 2013) have attempted to
298 correct data for the camera position and refraction of light; however, it is not possible to
299 correct for error attributable to motion of the treadmill, water turbulence, or movement of
300 limbs. As the calculated error due to refraction of light seems to be as low as 1.3% (McCrae
301 *et al.*, 2020), we have conducted without any correction for refraction of water.

302 In conclusion, the UWTM is a modality that provides therapeutic benefits through the
303 improvement of joint motion, especially joint flexion. The aim of this study was to identify
304 changes in both forelimb and hindlimb kinematics as they relate to a therapeutic programme.
305 The current study has shown that water level has to be adjusted to target specific joint being

306 treated, with higher water necessary to impact kinematics of the most proximal joints. Results
307 have shown that a small amount of water at the digit provides sensory input and could
308 potentially help with inducing a small increase in elbow and stifle flexion. However, it has also
309 shown alternating increases between the stifle and elbow joint at varying water levels due to
310 the different water properties acting on the joints, portraying compensatory mechanisms that
311 occur during UWTM exercise. UWTM exercise has also proved to be safe on the situations
312 where increase in joints extension is not desirable. This piece of research highlights the
313 importance of considering the effects of correct water height when formulating a
314 hydrotherapy protocol.

315

316 **References**

- 317 Barela A.M., Stolf S.F., Duarte M. (2006) Biomechanical characteristics of adults walking in shallow
318 water and on land. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology*. 16(3):250-6. doi:
319 10.1016/j.jelekin.2005.06.013.
- 320
- 321 Barnicoat, F., Wills, A. P. (2016) Effect of water depth on limb kinematics of the domestic dog (*Canis*
322 *lupus familiaris*) during underwater treadmill exercise. *Comparative Exercise Physiology*. 12(4), 199–
323 207.
- 324 Buchner, H.H.F., Savelberg, H.H.C.M., Schamhardt, H.C., Merkens, H.W., Barneveld, A. (1994)
325 Kinematics of treadmill versus overground locomotion in horses. *Veterinary Quarterly*. 16(sup2), 87–
326 90.
- 327 Clayton, H.M., White, A.D., Kaiser, L.J., Nauwelaerts, S., Lavagnino, M., Stubbs, N.C. (2010)
328 Hindlimb response to tactile stimulation of the pastern and coronet. *Equine Veterinary Journal*. 42(3),
329 227–233.
- 330 Fallon, J.B., Bent, L.R., McNulty, P.A. and Macefield, V.G. (2005) Evidence for strong synaptic
331 coupling between single tactile afferents from the sole of the foot and motoneurons supplying leg
332 muscles. *Journal of Neurophysiology*. 94, 3795-3804.
- 333 Frank, L.R., Roynard, P.F.P. (2018) *Veterinary Neurologic Rehabilitation: The Rationale for a*
334 *Comprehensive Approach*. *Topics in Companion Animal Medicine*. 33(2), 49–57.
- 335 Jackson, Millis, Stevens (2002) Joint kinematics during underwater treadmill activity. *Proceeding of*
336 *the 2nd International Symposium on Rehabilitation and Physical Therapy in Veterinary Medicine*.
- 337 Jandi, A.S., Schulman, A.J. (2007) Incidence of Motion Loss of the Stifle Joint in Dogs with
338 Naturally Occurring Cranial Cruciate Ligament Rupture Surgically Treated with Tibial Plateau
339 Leveling Osteotomy: Longitudinal Clinical Study of 412 Cases. *Veterinary Surgery*. 36(2), 114–121..
- 340 Jarvis, S.L., Worley, D.R., Hogy, S.M., Hill, A.E., Haussler, K.K., Reiser, R.F. (2013) Kinematic and
341 kinetic analysis of dogs during trotting after amputation of a thoracic limb. *American Journal of*
342 *Veterinary Research*. 74(9), 1155–1163.
- 343 King, Melissa R., Haussler, K.K., Kawcak, C.E., McIlwraith, C.W., Reiser II, R.F. (2013) Effect of
344 underwater treadmill exercise on postural sway in horses with experimentally induced carpal joint
345 osteoarthritis. *American Journal of Veterinary Research*. 74(7), 971–982.
- 346 Levine, D., Marcellin-Little, D.J., Millis, D.L., Tragauer, V., Osborne, J.A. (2010) Effects of partial
347 immersion in water on vertical ground reaction forces and weight distribution in dogs. *American*
348 *Journal of Veterinary Research*. 71(12), 1413–1416.

349 Marsolais GS, McLean S, Derrick T, Conzemius MG. Kinematic analysis of the hind limb during
350 swimming and walking in healthy dogs and dogs with surgically corrected cranial cruciate ligament
351 rupture. *J Am Vet Med Assoc.* 2003 Mar 15;222(6):739-43. doi: 10.2460/javma.2003.222.739.

352

353 McCrae, Persephone & Bradley-Cronkwright, Madison & Rolian, Campbell & Léguillette, R.. (2020).
354 Water height modifies forelimb kinematics of horses during water treadmill exercise. *Comparative*
355 *Exercise Physiology.* 17. 1-8. 10.3920/CEP200013.

356

357 McGowan, C., Goff, L. (2016) *Animal Physiotherapy: Assessment, Treatment and Rehabilitation of*
358 *Animals.* John Wiley & Sons.

359 Mele, E. (2007) *Epidemiology of Osteoarthritis.* In: *Veterinary Focus* 17(3); 2007.

360 Mendez-Angulo, J.L., Firshman, A.M., Groschen, D.M., Kieffer, P.J., Trumble, T.N. (2013) Effect of
361 water depth on amount of flexion and extension of joints of the distal aspects of the limbs in healthy
362 horses walking on an underwater treadmill. *American Journal of Veterinary Research.* 74(4), 557–
363 566.

364 Mendez-Angulo, J.L., Firshman, A.M., Groschen, D.M., Kieffer, P.J., Trumble, T.N. (2014) Impact
365 of walking surface on the range of motion of equine distal limb joints for rehabilitation purposes. *The*
366 *Veterinary Journal.* 199(3), 413–418.

367 Millis, D., Levine, D. (2014) *Canine Rehabilitation and Physical Therapy.* Elsevier Health Sciences.

368 Olby, N., Halling, K.B., Glick, T.R. (2005) *Rehabilitation for the Neurologic Patient.* *Veterinary*
369 *Clinics:Small Animal Practice.* 35(6), 1389–1409.

370 Orselli, M.I.V., Duarte, M. (2011) Joint forces and torques when walking in shallow water. *Journal of*
371 *Biomechanics.* 44(6), 1170–1175.

372 Owen, M.R., Richards, J., Clements, D.N., Drew, S.T., Bennett, D., Carmichaels, S. (2004)
373 Kinematics of the elbow and stifle joints in greyhounds during treadmill trotting – An investigation of
374 familiarisation. *Veterinary and Comparative Orthopaedics and Traumatology.* 2004, 141–145.

375 Parkinson, S., Wills, A. P., Tabor, G., Williams, J. M. (2018) Effect of water depth on muscle activity
376 of dogs when walking on a water treadmill. *Comparative Exercise Physiology.* 14(2), 79–89.

377 Prankel (2008) *Hydrotherapy in practice.* *Companion Animal Practice.* (30), 272–277.

378

379 Preston T, Wills AP. A single hydrotherapy session increases range of motion and stride length in
380 Labrador retrievers diagnosed with elbow dysplasia. *Vet J.* 2018 Apr;234:105-110. doi:
381 10.1016/j.tvjl.2018.02.013. Epub 2018 Feb 24.

382

383 Prydie, D., Hewitt, I. (2015) *Practical Physiotherapy for Small Animal Practice.* John Wiley & Sons.

384 Rossignol, S., Julien, C., Gauthier, L. (1981) Stimulus–response relationships during locomotion.
385 *Canadian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology.* 59(7), 667–674.

386 Sherrington C.S. (1910) Flexion-reflex of the limb, crossed extension-reflex, and reflex stepping and
387 standing. *Journal of Physiology* 40, 28–121.

388 Todhunter, R.J., Zachos, T.A., Gilbert, R.O., Erb, H.N., Williams, A.J., Burton-Wurster, N., Lust, G.
389 (1997) Onset of epiphyseal mineralization and growth plate closure in radiographically normal and
390 dysplastic Labrador retrievers. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association.* 210(10),
391 1458–1462.

- 392 Torres, B.T., Mo ns, N.M.M., Al-Nadaf, S., Reynolds, L.R., Fu, Y.-C., Budsberg, S.C. (2013)
393 Comparison of overground and treadmill-based gaits of dogs. American Journal of Veterinary
394 Research. 74(4), 535–541.
- 395 Torres-Ronda, L., Alc zar, X.S. i del (2014) The Properties of Water and their Applications for
396 Training. Journal of Human Kinetics. 44(1), 237–248.
- 397 Waining, M., Young, I.S., Williams, S.B. (2011) Evaluation of the status of canine hydrotherapy in
398 the UK. Veterinary Record. 168(15), 407–407.
- 399 Zink, M. C. , Van Dyke J. B. (2013) Canine Sports Medicine and Rehabilitation. Wiley-Blackwell.

400

401

402

403

404

405 **Tables**

406 Table 1: Forelimb joints flexion, extension and ROM percentage change at all water levels in
 407 relation to dry treadmill values (n=10). The highlighted numbers represent the water levels
 408 where the outcomes were statistically significant different from dry treadmill, in green when
 409 there was an increase and in red when there was a decrease (p<0.05).

410

<i>Joint Assessed</i>	<i>Water level</i>	<i>Flexion</i>	<i>Extension</i>	<i>ROM</i>
Shoulder	<i>Digit</i>	+1.6%	+0.2%	-1%
	<i>Tarsus</i>	+5.6%	+1.9%	+14.3%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+7.62%	+0.6%	+26.8%
	<i>Hip</i>	+16%	+0.4%	+78%
Elbow	<i>Digit</i>	+13.5%	+0.9%	+23.7%
	<i>Tarsus</i>	+17.62%	+0.7%	+37.3%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+21.1%	+1.8%	+39.2%
	<i>Hip</i>	+27.37%	+6.17%	+97.3%
Carpus	<i>Digit</i>	+7.1%	-3%	+2.2%
	<i>Tarsus</i>	+19.6%	-8.92%	+19.8%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+19.12%	-6.7%	+9.6%
	<i>Hip</i>	+30%	-7.23%	+27.9%

411

412 Table 2: Hindlimb joints flexion, extension and ROM percentage change at all water levels in
 413 relation to dry treadmill values (n=10). The highlighted numbers represent the water levels
 414 where the outcomes were statistically significant different from dry treadmill, in green when
 415 there was an increase and in red when there was a decrease (p<0.05).

<i>Joint Assessed</i>	<i>Water level</i>	<i>Flexion</i>	<i>Extension</i>	<i>ROM</i>
Hip	<i>Digit</i>	+5.2%	+0.9%	+20.4%
	<i>Tarsus</i>	+4.2%	+1.5%	+21.1%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+5.4%	+1.9%	+22.2%
	<i>Hip</i>	+23.2%	+3.8%	+44.4%
Stifle	<i>Digit</i>	+10.97%	-3%	+4.4%
	<i>Tarsus</i>	+19.43%	-4.5%	+27.5%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+28.37%	-5.32%	+51.5%
	<i>Hip</i>	+25.1%	+1.8%	+46.6%
Tarsal Joint	<i>Digit</i>	+9.18%	-0.1%	+20.5%
	<i>Tarsusl</i>	+15.15%	-5.9%	+46.8%
	<i>Stifle</i>	+21.27%	-6.8%	+62.9%
	<i>Hip</i>	+21.8%	+0.6%	+60.3%

416

417

418 **Figures legends**

419

420 Figure 1. Representative image of a dog on the underwater treadmill with lines at
421 approximate water levels used

422

423 Figure 2: Photographic image of a dog indicating the locations of forelimb and hindlimb skin
424 markers (white circles) used to identify body segments (white lines) for determination of joint
425 angles. Measurements of angles for each evaluated joint (shoulder, elbow, carpus, hip, stifle
426 and tarsus) are indicated (curved white lines).

427

428

429 Figure 3. Shoulder, elbow, and carpus kinematics (flexion, extension and ROM) of dogs (n=10)
430 walking on an underwater treadmill at different water levels (dry, digit, tarsal, stifle and hip
431 level). The bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles, the band inside the box
432 is the second quartile (the median), and the 'x' is the mean. The lines extending vertically
433 from the boxes (whiskers) indicate the minimum and maximum of all of the data. * represent
434 significant differences between in relation with the dry condition ($p < 0.05$).

435

436 Figure 4. Hip, stifle, and tarsus kinematics (flexion, extension and ROM) of dogs (n=10) walking
437 on an underwater treadmill at different water levels (dry, digit, tarsal, stifle and hip level). The
438 bottom and top of the box are the first and third quartiles, the band inside the box is the
439 second quartile (the median), and the 'x' is the mean. The lines extending vertically from the
440 boxes (whiskers) indicate the minimum and maximum of all of the data. * represent
441 significant differences between in relation with the dry condition ($p < 0.05$).

442

443